Curriculum Map Guidelines

 $\underline{http://www.azed.gov/azccrs/files/2013/11/azccrs-curriculum-map-guidelines_112013.docx} \textbf{District:}$

Subject/Course: Grade Level: Overall Rating:

Does the map support the integrity of the core content shifts including the	NO →	List deficiencies for this map if
 integration of content literacy and technology? □ Does this curriculum map include all standards/performance objectives for the grade level multiple times as needed for mastery as indicated by the standard? □ Are the standards unwrapped and broken into knowledge and learning targets? □ Are big ideas or overarching concepts present? □ Are the essential questions aligned to the standards listed? ○ Example: Big ideas and essential questions are directly related to and clearly support the standards. 		 The map does not include all the standards/performance objectives at that grade level (K-8) or HS course. Examples: ELA contains no information on text complexity and/or textual evidence. High school mathematics maps do not show all the appropriate objectives for a full course sequence. High school science maps do not include all appropriate objectives from
 Examples: □ ELA text complexity levels and opportunities for citing from textual evidence are sufficient. □ Mathematical practices are connected to content. □ Science inquiry skills are connected to content in life, physical, and earth/space science. □ Social Studies history research skills and geography skills are connected to content in history. □ Social Studies maps (K-8) show integration of performance objectives across strands. 		the content strand and strands 1-3 for the course. High school social studies maps do not include all appropriate objectives from Strands 1-5 for the course. It contains only generic or vague statements of resources, assessments and/or knowledge and learning targets. Big ideas or overarching concepts are not evident or are undeveloped. Essential questions are simplistic or irrelevant. The map is aligned to theme or concept, not specific knowledge within the standards/performance objectives.
YES - this map is aligned to the grade level standards/performance objectives or subject area course.		List deficiencies or concerns:

Curriculum Map Guidelines

Dimension III. DECREE OF DETAIL		
Dimension II: DEGREE OF DETAIL Does this map contain sufficient degree of detail? ☐ Are standards/performance objectives included on the map multiple times as needed for mastery as indicated by the standards? ☐ Is there evidence of integration within and across content areas? Examples: ○ ELA simultaneously incorporates various strands (R, W, S&L, L) and/or integrating language functions in other content areas. ○ Geometry can be connected to proportional reasoning (for instance doubling the dimension of a rectangle does not double the area). ☐ Is the map a full year/course map? ☐ Does the map include specific time frames (quarter, month, or weeks)? ☐ Is the timeline appropriate to prepare students for mastery of culminating standards by end of grade level/course, as indicated by evidence of sequentially ordered foundational skills? ☐ Do suggested resources (if included in the map) include page numbers, titles, ISBN numbers, editions, URLs or names so that teachers can easily locate and link the resource to the map?	NO →	 List deficiencies for this map if The map does not include all the standards/performance objectives at that grade level (K-8) or HS course at least once. No evidence of integration within or across content areas. Missing one of the following timeframes (year, month, week or days). The timeframe is unrealistic or does not include adequate preparation time for students taking the state assessments. The map is missing any of the following: page numbers, titles, ISBN numbers editions, URLS.
YES — this map includes sufficient detail. ↓		List deficiencies or concerns:

Curriculum Map Guidelines

Dimension III: TARGETED AND VARIED ASSESSMENTS				
Does this map include evidence of targeted and varied assessments? ☐ Are learning targets assessed on multiple occasions? ☐ Are there multiple and varied types of assessments referenced to check for student learning? (e.g. diagnostic, formative and summative assessments through demonstrations, classroom participation, presentations, projects, debates)	No →	 List deficiencies for this map if The map is missing learning targets Assessments are not evident. Assessments are not varied. It includes only summative or end of unit assessments. It contains only generic or vague lists of assessments. 		
YES - this map contains targeted and varied assessments. ↓		List deficiencies or concerns:		
Summary				
 □ Exemplar: Aligned and meets all of the criteria in dimensions I, II, and III. □ Exemplar if Improved: Aligned and needs improvement in one dimension. □ Needs Revision: Need significant revision in one or more dimensions. 		Provide any additional information regarding the Curriculum Map here:		